

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION AT SHERMAN**

Cause No.: _____

RUSTIN P. WRIGHT, Petitioner, and Defendant-Respondent below,)	In a removal from the Sixth Judicial District Court of Lamar County, Texas
)	
v.)	State case number: 73540 (“ <i>In the Interest of A.G.F.W., a Minor Child</i> ”)
)	
ASHLEY B. WOMACK, Respondent, and Plaintiff-Petitioner below,)	Judge William Baird, presiding

Notice of Pending Amendment of Petition into Full Complaint

Comes now the Petitioner, Rustin P. Wright, providing notice to the Court and all parties in regards to pending amendment regarding his Verified Petition for Warrant of Removal, thusly:

These matters have now been filed in emergency of removal under 28 USC § 1443 due to the instant state court’s sudden explosion right off the Richter scale of even its recent and previous lawlessness, the newest very serious abuses occurring out of the blue just this past two weeks, hence the undersigned Petitioner has only had brief opportunity with which to formulate and file merely highlighted sampling of some of the most egregious Counts against various respondents.

The instant Counts already included under extreme time emergency, and also the additional Counts coming by way of amendment, are both applicable to *not only* the removal portion of this cause, *but also* directly likewise to the civil damages lawsuit portion of this very same cause.

Pursuant to the time allotted as of right under F.R.Cv.P. Rule 15(a)(1), this Petitioner shall, indeed, be promptly supplementing the limited Counts filed under time emergency within the instant Petition, to include other applicable serious Counts, along with formal named Defendants.

If this Notice was instead a motion for leave to amend it would certainly be granted. In the absence of any apparent or declared reason - such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, futility of amendment, etc. - the leave should, as the rules require, be ‘freely given.’” *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962). Case law regarding the right to amend under 28 USC § 1653 is enormous.

However, this Notice is simply fair and reasonable courtesy notice to the Court and all parties with respect to the directly related motions for alternative preliminary relief requested by the contemporaneous filing of undersigned’s Notice Distinguishing Between the Two Basic Types of Removal; and, Motion for Issuance of Preliminary Relief in the Alternatives. See *id.* at 7-9.

“Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits a party to amend a pleading ‘once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is served.’” *Shane v. Fauver*, 213 F.3d 113, 115 (3d Cir. 2000). A motion to dismiss is not a responsive pleading under Rule 15(a). *Centifanti v. Nix*, 865 F.2d 1422, 1431 n.9 (3d Cir. 1989), citing *Reuber v. United States*, 750 F.2d 1039, 1062 n. 35 (D.C. Cir. 1984); *McDonald v. Hall*, 579 F.2d 120, 121 (1st Cir. 1978). See also 2 James Wm. Moore, et al., Moore’s Federal Practice § 12.34 (3d ed. 2000) (“Because a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim is not a ‘responsive pleading,’ a plaintiff may amend the complaint once without leave, even during the pendency of a motion to dismiss, if the defendant has not yet served an answer.”)

Accordingly, the undersigned Petitioner’s filing of his First Amended Complaint, which shall be the proper and full amendment of this now filed Petition, together with any and all additions of applicable formally named Defendants and variously applicable Counts, shall promptly and timely occur pursuant to provided timeline of either Rule 15(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).

It is anticipated that both the currently-named parties and all other interested parties herein, shall take all of the above information into consideration of and for their any answers and replies.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, Rustin P. Wright, provides notice to the Court and all parties in regards to upcoming amendment of his Verified Petition for Warrant of Removal in this cause, further retaining claim and right for all other relief true and proper within the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

Rustin P. Wright
10603 Memphis Drive
Frisco, TX 75035
Tel: (469) 569-2435
Email: rustinwright@hotmail.com
Pro Se Petitioner Party of Record